



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,227	05/11/2001	Douglas Allan Royce	4519RC2R2	6354
27752	7590 04/17/2006		EXAMINER	
	CTER & GAMBLE CON	WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/853,227	ROYCE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Edward J. Webman	1616			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja	nuary 200 <u>6</u> .				
	<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims		,			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-23 and 25-39</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 13,17 and 28-37 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-12, 14-16, 18-27, 38, 39</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	KS)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/853,227

Art Unit: 1616

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-12, 14-16, 18-23, 25, 26, 38, 39 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Guskey (5,977,036).

Guskey teaches 5-50% anionic surfactant, 0.025-3% cationic polymer with a charge density of 0.2-7 meq/gm and a molecular weight of 5K to 10 million, 0.1-10% water insoluble hair styling polymer and water (abstract). Polyquaternium 10 is disclosed (column 8 lines 3-4). Polydimethylsiloxane is specified (column 22 lines 16-17). Ethylene glycol distearate is disclosed (column 26 line 36). Antidandruff agents are specified (column 17 line 38). Alkyl and alkyl ether sulfates are preferred anionic surfactants (column 3 lines 18-19). Alkyl sulfonates and alkyl ether sulfonates are disclosed (column 4 lines 22-63). 0.5% amphoteric surfactants are specified (column 5 lines 15-19). Surfactant combinations are disclosed (column 3 lines 1-4). As to the claimed complex coacervate formation, such a property must be possessed by the anticipatory composition because it is the same as that claimed.

Applicants argue picking and choosing regarding the surfactant. However, alkyl and alkyl ether sulfates are preferred surfactants (column 3 lines 18-19). Applicants argue unexpected results with a low charge density cationic polymer. However, such

Application/Control Number: 09/853,227

Art Unit: 1616

an argument, that is, one of secondary considerations, is not germane to a rejection under 35 USC 102.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guskey.

Guskey is discussed above. However, Guskey does not teach pyridinedione salts.

The examiner takes notice under MPEP 2144.03 that pyridinedione salts are well-known in the art as anti-dandruff agents.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to add pyridinedione salts to the composition of Guskey because such salts are well-known in the art as anti-dandruff agents.

Applicants argue that there is no teaching to add an anti-dandruff agent in Guskey. However, as cited in the rejection under 35 USC 102, Guskey does so teach.

No claims allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/853,227

Art Unit: 1616

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 571-272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan, can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500